

## EVALUATION OF LOWER BACK PAIN AND PELVIC INFLAMMATORY DISEASE IN FEMALE PATIENTS AT A TERTIARY CARE TEACHING HOSPITAL

Jagrati Kiran Naagar<sup>1</sup>, Shweta Yadav<sup>2</sup>, Sachin Singh Yadav<sup>3</sup>

Received : 24/04/2023  
Received in revised form : 17/05/2023  
Accepted : 31/05/2023

**Keywords:**

Backache, PID, Epidemiological, Vaginal discharge.

**Corresponding Author:**

Dr. Sachin Singh Yadav,  
Email: dr.sachin2013@gmail.com

DOI: 10.47009/jamp.2023.5.3.262

Source of Support: Nil,  
Conflict of Interest: None declared

Int J Acad Med Pharm  
2023; 5 (3); 1286-1288



<sup>1</sup>Associate Professor, Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Bundelkhand Medical College, Sagar, Madhya Pradesh, India.

<sup>2</sup>Professor and Head, Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Government Medical College, Datia, Madhya Pradesh, India.

<sup>3</sup>Associate Professor, Department of Community Medicine, Government Medical College, Datia, Madhya Pradesh, India.

### Abstract

**Background:** Pelvic inflammatory disease (PID) is one of the most frequent infections seen in reproductive age women and is associated with major clinical problem like low backache. The objective is to study the incidence of pelvic inflammatory diseases of lower backache in females and its association with treatment plan and Outcome. **Materials and Methods:** A prospective epidemiological study was done on 200 female patients in the department of obstetrics and gynaecology along with Orthopaedics of BMC, Sagar (M.P) during the period from September 2021 to May 2022. Patients were divided into 2 groups as per their complaints. Group 1 included patients with PID and Group 2 included without PID. Epi-info 7 was used for analysis. **Result:** Out of 200 female patients 128 were diagnosed as pelvic inflammatory disease as the under lying pathology along with backache that comprised of 64% of the study group. Maximum number of patients of backache with discharge per vagina was of age group of >40 years which makes them 50% of the total patients of backache. **Conclusion:** Pelvic inflammatory disease is a major risk factor causing the low backache in patients and hampering their day to day activities.

## INTRODUCTION

Low back pain is a chief public health problem all over the world. Most people suffer devastating back pain at some stages in their lives. On any given day, an estimated 6.5 million people in the United States are bed-ridden because of back pain and approximately 1.5 million new cases of back pain are pursued by physicians in each month. There has been growing apprehension about the low back disability in western society.<sup>[1]</sup> In India, incidence of low back pain is also alarming; nearly 60 percent of the people in India have significant back pain at some time or the other in lives.<sup>[2]</sup> Pelvic inflammatory disease (PID) is defined as the inflammation of the upper genital tract including the uterus, Fallopian tube, ovaries, and the pelvic peritoneum. If the disease is left untreated, it could result in serious consequences such as infertility, ectopic pregnancies, chronic abdominal pain, and internal pelvic scarring.<sup>[3,4]</sup> PID is common public health problem with grave consequence on women's health and wellbeing. Other than the chronicity of lower abdominal pain, dull aching backache damage the women's wellbeing.<sup>[5]</sup> Though few studies

suggest that 24-32% women in India suffer from PID, but we do not have satisfactory information on extent, distribution and determinants of PID and other gynaecological morbidities in developing countries.<sup>[6]</sup> So, the rationale behind this study was to determine the incidence PID in females having lower backache and its association with birth control methods.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

It was a Prospective parallel assignment Cross Sectional study done in outpatient department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology and Orthopaedics, BMC, Sagar (M.P.) from September 2021 to May 2022 (9 months). All the females attending the OPD during the study period were selected as study population.

### Inclusion Criteria

Patients were thoroughly interviewed and physically examined in the presence of female attendant to determine the underlying cause of backache. Those having backache were included in the study.

### Exclusion Criteria

Patients having clear signs of disc prolapse, tuberculosis of spine or any other spinal disease were being excluded from the study.

**Sample Size:** 200 female patients were studied during the study period.

**Sampling Technique:** Purposive sampling technique.

### Methodology

The study was divided into two groups: Group 1 included patients with PID diagnosed by Gynaecologist and Group 2 included patients with no PID only lower backache. Follow up of the patients was done on 2, 4, 8 weeks. Patients were thoroughly interviewed and physically examined in the presence of female attendant to determine the underlying cause of backache. Patients found with problem other than orthopedics were guided to the required specialist or department and patients found with any underlying pathology were referred for necessary treatment. Routine investigations like ESR, X-ray spine and any other necessary

investigations including any ordered by the gynecologist were done to arrive at the diagnosis.

Written informed consent was taken and the study was approved by institutional ethics committee.

Statistical Analysis- Data was analyzed using Epi-info (Version 7.0). Data were expressed in terms of percentage. Chi-Square test was used to find the association. P value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

## RESULTS

[Table 1] shows demographic details of the females. Out of 200 females, around 50% belonged to the age group of >40 years which shows that lower backache is common as the age increases in the present study. Most of the females were educated (85%). Around 55% of females were Obese. This could be the significant reason for lower backache. 65% of women belonged to middle class age group. 64% of females who had lower backache had provisional PID. Which were further categorised to Group 1.

**Table 1: Demographic detail and Pelvic Inflammatory Disease status of the study participants.**

Parameters	Number (%)
Age	
18-30 years	45 (22.5)
30-40 years	55 (27.5)
>40 years	100 (50)
Education	
Educated	170 (85)
Non-Educated	30 (15)
Obesity status	
Obese	110 (55)
Non-Obese	90 (45)
Socio-economic status	
High	40 (20)
Middle	130 (65)
Low	30 (15)
PID	
Yes	128 (64)
No	72 (36)

**Table 2: Treatment and Outcome Association with PID**

Treatment	Group 1 (PID)	Group 2 (No PID)	p-value
Antibiotics	128	72	0.001*
Surgery	0	0	-
Surgery with Antibiotics	5	2	0.11
Outcome			
Recovered	126	72	0.02*
Not recovered	2	0	0.21
Mortality	0	0	

In [Table 2] shows the treatment plan of females which shows the most common treatment was by far antibiotics which shows a significant association in both the groups. As compared surgery was least done in these patients females with PID (group 1) shows a significant association with treatment plan. As seen outcome nearly all the patient recovered which was significant ( $p < 0.05$ ). Just 2 patients were not recovered so were sent to follow up. No mortality is seen.

**Table 3: Treatment plan for Non-PID patients with lower backache**

Treatment plan*	Number (%)
NSAIDS	72 (100)
Physiotherapy	60 (83)
Surgery for backache	2 (4)
Calcium Supplementation	72 (100)

In [Table 3] the female's patients with NO PID and have only backache were referred to department of Orthopaedics for further evaluation. Nearly all the females were given NSAIDs and Calcium supplementation (100%). While physiotherapy was suggested to 83% of patients and only 4% went to surgery (spinectomy).

**Table 4: Association of PID with duration of discharge in different age groups**

Age groups	<3 months	6-9 months	<12 months	p-value
Group 1				
18-30 years	30	40	22	0.001*
30-40 years	48	40	26	0.32
>40 years	50	48	80	0.01*
Group 2				
18-30 years	15	5	23	0.34
30-40 years	7	15	29	0.09
>40 years	50	52	20	0.10

As per [Table 4] the duration of discharge in terms of age groups of group 1 and 2 shows a significant association with females with PID and backache in age groups 18-30 years and >40 years ( $p < 0.05$ ) which concludes that duration of discharge is important factor in PID while group 2 didn't show any kind of association. They were considered for any kind of discharge devoid of PID, but no association was found.

## DISCUSSION

In our study we observed that low backache incidence increased with age which comprised 22.4% of 18-30 years age group, 27.5% of 30-40 years age group and 50% of >40 years age group. This is agreement with the study conducted by Leino P et al which stated that low backache symptoms were more prevalent in females and prevalence of low backache increases with age.<sup>[7]</sup> Hurwitz et al, stated in his study that age 25-64 years and uneducated and unemployed population are often at higher risk having disabling back conditions.<sup>[8]</sup> Sachdeva et al stated in their studies that obesity is also a contributing factor or obesity increases the risk of back pain.<sup>[9]</sup> Cypress BK et al studied the characteristics of physician visits for back pain symptoms.<sup>[10]</sup> The treatment plan of females which shows the most common treatment was by far antibiotics which shows a significant association in both the groups. As compared surgery was least done in these patients. Females with backache and PID (group 1) shows a significant association with treatment plan. The duration of discharge in terms of age groups of group 1 and 2 shows a significant association with females with PID and backache in age groups 18-30 years and >40 years ( $p < 0.05$ ) which concludes that duration of

discharge is important factor in PID while group 2 didn't show any kind of association.

## CONCLUSION

Pelvic inflammatory disease is a major risk factor causing the low backache in patients and hampering their day to day activities. Female patients with bestowing complaint of back pain along with related complaint of PID should be got investigated for underlying gynaecological pathology and should be treated.

## REFERENCES

1. Atlas SJ, Deyo RA. Evaluating and managing acute low back pain in the primary care setting, journal of general internal medicine. 2021;16(2):120-31.
2. Suryapani R. Backache borne of modern life style. The Tribune. 2016;16.
3. Rohrbeck P. Pelvic inflammatory disease among female recruit trainees, active component, U.S. Armed Forces, 2002-2012. MSMR. 2013;20:15-8.
4. Spencer TH, Umeh PO, Irokanulo E, Baba MM, Spencer BB, Umar AI, et al. Bacterial isolates associated with pelvic inflammatory disease among female patients attending some hospital in Abuja, Nigeria. Afr J Infect Dis. 2014;8:9-13.
5. Beverly W. Women's health: an alternative perspective for choosing interventions. Studies in family planning, population council. 2018;19(4):197-214.
6. Bang RA, Bang AT, Baitule M. High prevalence of gynecological diseases in rural Indian women. Lancet. 2019;333(8629):85-8.
7. Leino PI, Berg MA, Puska P. Is back pain increasing? Results from National Surveys in Finland during 1978/9-1992. Scandinavian Journal of Rheumatology. 2014;23(5):269-76.
8. Hurwitz EL, Morgenstern H. Correlates of back related disability in the US. J Clin Epidemiol. 2017;50(6):669-81.
9. Sachdeva PK, Dahiya A, Singh R. Incidence of pelvic inflammatory disease in backache in females. Int J Reprod Contracept Obstet Gynecol 2016;5:3322-5
10. Cypress BK. Characteristics of physician visits for back symptoms: a national perspective. American Journal of Public Health. 2019;73(4):389-95.